In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh.

C.R. No. 138 of 2007 (O&M)
Decided on January 15,2007.

Smt.Indu Devi ---- Petitioner

vs.

Babus Lal --- Respondent.

Present: Mr. Chetan Mittal,Advocate, for the petitioner

Pritam Pal,J: (Oral)

This Revision Petition by the petitioner under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, is directed against the order dated December 02,2006,whereby his application for adducing additional evidence was rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is not interested in any manner in delaying the proceedings before the trial Court, rather, he wanted to place on record only a letter dated August 31,2006 (Annexure P-4), received by him from the Government of Haryana. This letter was admittedly received by the petitioner after the evidence of the petitioner and the defendant had already been closed. Counsel for the petitioner undertakes to prove only the said letter and he is not to lead any other evidence.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances explained above, and also the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, this Revision Petition is disposed of at this stage even without giving any notice to the respondent, with the direction that the trial Court shall give

only one effective opportunity to the petitioner for proving the aforesaid letter, Annexure P-4, subject to costs of Rs.2000/-, which shall be paid to the respondent/tenant through a bank draft to be prepared in his name.

A copy of this order be given dasti on usual payment.

January 15,2007 (Pritam Pal)
RR Judge